# General Biological Resources Assessment for the Bay & Day Commerce Center Project

July 7, 2023

Prepared for:

**T&B Planning** 4909 Murphy Canyon Road, Suite 405 San Diego, CA 92123

Prepared by:

# Alden Environmental, Inc.

3245 University Avenue, #1188 San Diego, CA 92104



## Bay & Day Commerce Center Project General Biological Resources Assessment

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>Section</b>	Title	Page	
1.0	INTRODUCTION		
2.0	<ul><li>PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION</li><li>2.1 Project Location</li><li>2.2 Project Description</li></ul>	1 	
3.0	<ul> <li>METHODS</li> <li>3.1 Literature Review.</li> <li>3.2 Biological Surveys</li></ul>	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3	
4.0	<ul> <li>RESULTS</li></ul>		
5.0	<ul> <li>MSHCP COMPLIANCE</li></ul>		
6.0	MITIGATION MEASURES	7 7	
7.0	REFERENCES	9	

## **Bay & Day Commerce Center Project General Biological Resources Assessment**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

#### LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Number</u>	Title	Follows <u>Page</u>
1	Regional Location	2
2	Project Location	2
3	Soils Map	4
4	Biological Resources/Impacts	4
5	MSHCP Survey Areas	6

### LIST OF APPENDICES

<u>Letter</u>	<u>Title</u>
А	Burrowing Owl Survey Report
В	Plant Species Observed
С	Animal Species Observed or Detected

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This report describes the existing biological resources on the proposed Bay & Day Commerce Center project site and evaluates the potential impacts to those resources that may occur as a result of project implementation. This report is intended to provide the City of Moreno Valley (City) with information necessary to assess significant impacts to biological resources under the Western Riverside Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP).

### 2.0 PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

#### 2.1 PROJECT LOCATION

The Bay & Day Commerce Center project is located in the City of Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California within the USGS Riverside East Quadrangle, Township 2S, Range 4W, Section 10 (Figures 1 and 2). The site is within the MSHCP plan area but is not within a criteria cell area. The site is bordered to the north by Bay Avenue and to the east by Day Street.

#### 2.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Project provides for the development of a light industrial warehouse facility on approximately 9.9 acres at the southwest corner of the Bay Avenue and Day Street intersection (Assessor's Parcel Numbers 263-230-001, -003, -004, and -025). The proposed light industrial warehouse facility provides 194,775 square feet of building floor area (inclusive of office spaces), a truck court with loading docks, and passenger vehicle parking areas and, also, includes site improvements such as automobile and trailer parking areas, landscaping, walls/fences, lighting, signage, and utility infrastructure improvements/connections.

#### **3.0 METHODS**

#### **3.1 LITERATURE REVIEW**

Prior to conducting the biological fieldwork, background research was conducted to obtain information on the existing biological conditions within the project vicinity. Background research included a review of current local, State, and federal regulations, historical and current aerial photographs, USGS topographic maps, U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service soil survey maps, the National Hydrography Dataset, the National Wetlands Inventory, and the MSHCP.

A review of the California Natural Diversity Data Base (CNDDB) and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) federal listed species database was performed to identify sensitive biological resources known from the proposed project vicinity. The CNDDB, which is administered by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), provides an inventory of vegetation communities, plant species, and wildlife species that are considered sensitive by State and federal resource agencies, academic institutions, and other conservation groups. Historical occurrences of sensitive species from the proposed project vicinity were used to determine species that may have potential to occur within or adjacent to the proposed project area.



#### 3.2 BIOLOGICAL SURVEYS

#### 3.2.1 <u>Burrowing Owl</u>

A focused burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*) survey was conducted on the site in 2021 with negative results. A follow up focused burrowing owl survey was conducted in 2023 to confirm/update the previous findings and ensure that suitable habitat within the current project footprint has been surveyed. Both surveys were conducted according to the Burrowing Owl Survey Instructions for the Western Riverside MSHCP Area (County of Riverside 2006) and consisted of 4 separate visits. The survey visit information for the 2023 survey is included in Table 1.

Table 1           2023 BURROWING OWL SURVEY INFORMATION				
Visit Number Date	Biologist	Time	Weather Conditions <sup>1</sup>	
	Date	Diologist	(start/stop)	(start/stop)
1	April 5	Brian Leatherman	an 0630-0900	Clear, 39°F, wind 0-2 mph /
				clear, 49°F, wind 1-2 mph
2	May 12	Brian Leatherman	0600 0800	100%, 54°F, wind 1-3 mph /
	wiay 12		0000-0800	100%, 57°F, wind 1-3 mph
2	3 June 14 Brian Leatherman 0530-0900	100%, 57°F, wind 1-3 mph /		
3		Ditali Leauterman	0330-0900	100%, 60°F, wind 1-3 mph
4	June 23	Brian Leatherman	0530-0830	100%, 54°F, wind 0-2 mph /
4				60%, 61°F, wind 2-4 mph

<sup>1</sup>Estimated cloud cover, temperature, and wind speed

The surveys were conducted by walking transects no more than 10 meters apart, through potentially suitable habitat (i.e., agriculture/disturbed habitat and non-native grassland) on site with the aid of binoculars. The area was surveyed for burrowing owls and potential burrows, perches, or other owl use areas (e.g., dirt piles, culverts). The determination of owl presence is made by direct owl observation or by owl sign such as, but not limited to, excavated soil, whitewash (excrement), castings (pellets), and/or feathers. A survey letter report for the 2023 survey was prepared and is included as Appendix A.

#### 3.2.2 <u>Vegetation Mapping</u>

Vegetation communities were first mapped on site in 2021 as part of the previous survey effort. The mapping was confirmed/updated in 2023 as part of the burrowing owl survey for the site. Vegetation communities were mapped according to Holland (1986) or Oberbauer (2008) classifications. Plant and animal species detected on site were recorded during fieldwork conducted on site. The site also was assessed for potential riparian/riverine and jurisdictional (wetland) features.

#### 3.2.3 <u>Sensitive Plants</u>

The site is not within or adjacent to the MSHCP Criteria Area Species Survey Area (CASSA) or the Narrow Endemic Plant Species Survey Area (NEPSSA) and focused sensitive plant surveys are not required. Additionally, the CNDDB and USFWS database search did not identify any sensitive plant species that have been known to occur on site or within the project vicinity.







#### 3.2.4 <u>Riparian/Riverine and Vernal Pool Resources</u>

During the site visits (Table 1), the project site was also inspected for Riparian/Riverine and Vernal Pool Resources, as well as any features that have potential to be considered Waters of the U.S. (WUS) or Waters of the State (WS) under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) and/or CDFW, respectively. WUS and WS encompass wetlands but also may include ephemeral and intermittent streams that may or may not be vegetated. The entire site was surveyed on foot for these resources.

Aerial photographs (current and historic), topographic maps, and soils maps were also reviewed for any sign of potential for flowing or ponded water, topographic depressions, and drainage features. The National Wetlands Inventory database also was queried for the site to determine if wetland/streambed features had been mapped on site in the past. The on-site evaluation consisted of a directed search for field characteristics indicative of riparian/riverine or vernal pool habitats. Field indicators may include wetland/riparian plant species, drainage courses, drainage patterns, ponded water, changes in soil character, changes in vegetation character, or water-borne debris deposits.

#### 3.3 SURVEY LIMITATIONS

Few survey limitations exist for the project site. Since the site visits were conducted during daylight hours, the presence of nocturnal animals such as coyote (*Canis latrans*), raccoon (*Procyon lotor*), and rodents could be determined only by indirect sign (e.g., tracks, scat, or burrows). A complete list of these species would require night surveys and trapping, but that is not warranted because the sensitivity of the animals that might be detected is low.

#### **3.4 NOMENCLATURE**

Nomenclature used in this report follows Holland (1986) and Oberbauer (2008) for vegetation community classifications. Plant names follow and sensitive plant status follows the California Native Plant Society (2021). Animal nomenclature is taken from Crother (2001) for amphibians and reptiles, American Ornithological Society (2020) for birds, and Baker, et al. (2003) for mammals. Sensitive animal status follows CDFW (2021).



### 4.0 RESULTS

#### 4.1 PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION AND LAND USE

The site is relatively flat with on-site elevations ranging from approximately 1,542 to 1,566 feet above mean sea level (AMSL). Soil on site (Figure 3) is mapped as Monserate sandy loam (0-5% slopes and 8-15% slopes eroded). There are no natural drainage features on site. The majority of the site is regularly tilled/mowed.

#### 4.2 VEGETATION COMMUNITIES AND LAND COVER TYPES

The majority of the site is a fallow agricultural field (agriculture/disturbed habitat) that is regularly tilled/mowed. The remainder of the site supports developed land and non-native grassland. The site does not support sensitive vegetation (Figure 4), and no sensitive vegetation communities were returned in the CNDDB query for the site.

#### 4.2.1 Upland Habitats

#### Agriculture/Disturbed Habitat

Agriculture/disturbed habitat on site covers approximately 7.50 acres and consists of land that presently is regularly tilled/mowed. Typical plant species observed in this vegetation community on site include non-native species such as cheeseweed (*Malva parviflora*), shortpod mustard (*Hirschfeldia incana*), and Russian thistle (*Salsola tragus*).

#### Developed

Developed land on site consists of approximately 1.56 acre of residential dwellings and their associated landscaping. Some plant species noted in this area on site include Peruvian pepper tree (*Schinus molle*), eucalyptus tree (*Eucalyptus* sp.), and tree of heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*).

#### Non-native Grassland

Non-native grassland on site covers approximately 0.84 acre and consists of a sparse to dense cover of non-native grass species such as wild oats (Avena sp.) and common ripgut grass (Bromus diandrus), as well as native and non-native herbaceous species such as rancher's fiddleneck (*Amsinckia menziesii* var. *intermedia*) and shortpod mustard.

#### 4.2.2 <u>Wetland/Riparian Vegetation Communities</u>

The National Hydrography Dataset and National Wetland Inventory do not show any wetland/riparian resources on or adjacent to the project site. The property is relatively flat and does not support any aquatic features (streams, drainages, creeks, ponds, swales, vernal pools, wetlands, etc.) necessary for the development of these habitats. The 2022-2023 rainy season saw above average rainfall and any aquatic/wetland associated features would have been visible during the site visits. As such, there are no wetland/riparian communities located on or adjacent to the site.







#### 4.3 PLANT SPECIES OBSERVED

The site is not located within a NEPSSA or CASSA, and no sensitive plant species were observed on the site. The CNDDB and USFWS database queries did not return any records of sensitive plant species on or adjacent to the site. A list of plant species observed on site is presented in Appendix B.

#### 4.4 ANIMAL SPECIES OBSERVED OR DETECTED

No sensitive animal species were observed or detected. The CNDDB and USFWS database queries did not return any records of sensitive animal species on or adjacent to the site. A list of animal species observed or detected is included as Appendix C.

#### 4.5 JURISDICTIONAL AREAS

As noted above in Section 4.2.2, the site not support any wetland/aquatic features; therefore, there are no features that could be jurisdictional to the state and federal regulatory agencies. See Section 5.4 of this report, Riparian/Riverine and Vernal Pool Requirements, for more information.

## 5.0 MSHCP COMPLIANCE

#### 5.1 MSHCP SURVEY REOUIREMENTS

The project site is located within the boundaries of the Reche Canyon/Badlands Area Plan but is not within or adjacent to any Criteria Cells. Required species survey areas for the project site were identified using the MSHCP Survey Areas (Figure 5).

#### 5.1.1 Burrowing Owl Analysis

The site is within the MSHCP burrowing owl survey area. No burrowing owls or sign of burrowing owls was observed during the focused breeding season survey conducted on the site in 2021 or 2023. The area surrounding the site also is mostly developed/disturbed, and the potential for burrowing owls to occur is considered to be minimal. While burrowing owls are not expected to occur on site, a pre-construction survey would be required to help ensure that no burrowing owls are present at the time of site development.

#### 5.1.2 Sensitive Plant Species

The site is not located within the NEPSSA or CASSA; as such, no focused sensitive plant surveys were required. Additionally, the site is highly disturbed and the potential for sensitive plant species to occur is low.



#### 5.2 URBAN/WILDLANDS INTERFACE GUIDELINES

According to the Section 6.1.4 of the MSHCP, the Urban/Wildlands Interface Guidelines are intended to address indirect effects associated with locating development in proximity to MSHCP conservation areas (Riverside County 2003). The project site is not adjacent to any MSHCP conservation areas or wildlife corridors/movement areas. Consequently, the Urban/Wildlife Interface Guidelines do not apply to the project.

#### 5.3 MSHCP AND RESERVE ASSEMBLY CRITERIA

The project site is not located within any Criteria Cells, nor is it identified for potential use for the MSHCP Reserve Assembly. Therefore, the project will not conflict with MSHCP conservation objectives for the area.

#### 5.4 RIPARIAN/RIVERINE AND VERNAL POOL REQUIREMENTS

Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP describes the process to protect species associated with Riparian/Riverine and Vernal Pool Resources. As defined in the MSHCP, riparian/riverine areas are lands that contain habitat dominated by trees, shrubs, persistent emergents, or emergent mosses and lichens that occur close to or depend on a nearby freshwater source or areas that contain a freshwater flow during all or a portion of the year. As defined in the MSHCP, vernal pools are seasonal wetlands that occur in depression areas that have wetlands indicators of all three parameters (soils, vegetation and hydrology) during the wetter portion of the growing season but normally lack wetlands indicators of hydrology and/or vegetation during the drier portion of the growing season. Riparian/Riverine and Vernal Pool Resources may support one or more of the species listed in Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP.

No Riparian/Riverine Resources occur on site. The MSHCP requires focused surveys for sensitive riparian bird species when suitable riparian habitat would be affected. Given that there are no riparian/riverine features on or adjacent to the site, sensitive riparian bird surveys are not required. The project site also does not support Vernal Pool Resources.





## 6.0 MITIGATION MEASURES

#### 6.1 MITIGATION MEASURES

Compliance with the requirements of Section 6.0 of the MSHCP is intended to provide full mitigation under CEQA, the National Environmental Policy Act, the California Endangered Species Act (CESA), and the federal Endangered Species Act (FESA) for impacts on species and habitats covered by the MSHCP, pursuant to agreements with the USFWS and the CDFW, as set forth in the implementing agreement for the MSHCP.

The following standard mitigation conditions would reduce project-related impacts to MSHCP covered species and other biological resources to less than significant:

- 1. The project shall comply with City of Moreno Valley Municipal Code Title 3, Chapter 3.48, Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan Fee Program, which requires a per-acre local development impact and mitigation fee. The project applicant shall pay Western Riverside County MSHCP development impact and mitigation fees to the City prior to the issuance of a building permit.
- 2. Within 30 days prior to grading, a qualified biologist shall conduct a survey of the undeveloped portions of the property and make a determination regarding the presence or absence of the burrowing owl. The determination shall be documented in a report and shall be submitted, reviewed, and accepted by the City of Moreno Valley Planning Division prior to the issuance of a grading permit and subject to the following provisions:
  - a. In the event that the pre-construction survey identifies no burrowing owls on the property, a grading permit may be issued without restriction.
  - b. In the event that the pre-construction survey identifies the presence of at least one individual but less than three (3) mating pairs of burrowing owl, then prior to the issuance of a grading permit and prior to the commencement of ground-disturbing activities on the property, the qualified biologist shall passively or actively relocate any burrowing owls. Passive relocation, including the required use of one-way doors to exclude owls from the site and the collapsing of burrows, will occur if the biologist determines that the proximity and availability of alternate habitat is suitable for successful passive relocation. Passive relocation shall follow CDFW relocation protocol and shall only occur between September 15 and February 1. If proximate alternate habitat is not present as determined by the biologist, active relocation shall follow CDFW relocation protocol. The biologist shall confirm in writing that the species has fledged the site or been relocated prior to the issuance of a grading permit.



- c. In the event that the pre-construction survey identifies the presence of three (3) or more mating pairs of burrowing owl, the requirements of MSCHP Species-Specific Conservation Objectives 5 for the burrowing owl shall be followed. Objective 5 states that if the site (including adjacent areas) supports three (3) or more pairs of burrowing owls and supports greater than 35 acres of suitable Habitat, at least 90 percent of the area with long-term conservation value and burrowing owl pairs will be conserved onsite until it is demonstrated that Objectives 1-4 have been met. A grading permit shall only be issued, either:
  - i. upon approval and implementation of a property-specific Determination of Biologically Superior Preservation (DBESP) report for the western burrowing owl by the CDFW; or
  - ii. a determination by the biologist that the site is part of an area supporting less than 35 acres of suitable Habitat, and upon passive or active relocation of the species following accepted CDFW protocols. Passive relocation, including the required use of one-way doors to exclude owls from the site and the collapsing of burrows, will occur if the biologist determines that the proximity and availability of alternate habitat is suitable for successful passive relocation. Passive relocation shall follow CDFW relocation protocol and shall only occur between September 15 and February 1. If proximate alternate habitat is not present as determined by the biologist, active relocation shall follow CDFW relocation protocol. The biologist shall confirm in writing that the species has fledged the site or been relocated prior to the issuance of a grading permit.
- 3. As a condition of approval for all grading permits, vegetation clearing and ground disturbance shall be prohibited during the migratory bird nesting season (February 1 through September 15), unless a migratory bird nesting survey is completed in accordance with the following requirements:
  - a. A migratory nesting bird survey of the Project's impact footprint shall be conducted by a qualified biologist within three (3) days prior to initiating vegetation clearing or ground disturbance.
  - b. A copy of the migratory nesting bird survey results report shall be provided to the City of Moreno Valley Planning Division. If the survey identifies the presence of active nests, then the qualified biologist shall provide the City of Moreno Valley Planning Division with a copy of maps showing the location of all nests and an appropriate buffer zone around each nest sufficient to protect the nest from direct and indirect impact. The size and location of all buffer zones, if required, shall be subject to review and approval by the City of Moreno Valley Planning Division and shall be no less than a 300-foot radius around the nest for non-raptors and a 500-foot radius around the nest for raptors. The nests and buffer zone shall be field checked weekly by a qualified biological monitor. The approved buffer zone shall be marked in the field with construction fencing, within which no vegetation clearing or ground disturbance shall commence until the qualified biologist and City Planning Division verify that the nests are no longer occupied and the juvenile birds can survive independently from the nests.



#### 7.0 REFERENCES

- American Ornithological Society. 2020. Check-list of North American Birds. http://checklist.americanornithology.org/taxa
- Baker, R.J., L.C. Bradley, R.D. Bradley, J.W. Dragoo, M.D. Engstrom, R.S. Hoffmann, C.A. Jones, F. Reid, D.W. Rice, and C. Jones. 2003. Revised checklist of North American mammals north of Mexico. Occasional Papers of the Museum, Texas Tech University 223.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2021. Special Animals List. https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=109406&inline
- California Native Plant Society. 2021. Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California (online edition, v9-01 0.0). Website https://www.rareplants.cnps.org
- Crother, B.I. 2001. Scientific and Standard English Names of Amphibians and Reptiles of North America North of Mexico, With Comments Regarding Confidence in Our Understanding. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles 29. 84 pp.
- County of Riverside. 2006. Burrowing Owl Survey Instructions for the Western Riverside Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan Area. March 29.
- Holland, R.F. 1986. Preliminary descriptions of the terrestrial natural communities of California. State of California, The Resources Agency, 156 pp.
- Oberbauer, Thomas. 2008. Terrestrial Vegetation Communities in San Diego County Based on Holland's Descriptions. Revised from 1996 and 2005. July.
- Riverside County. 2003. Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan.



## Appendix A

**BURROWING OWL SURVEY REPORT** 



3245 University Ave, #1188 | San Diego, CA 92104 | Phone/Fax: 619.284,3815

June 27, 2023

Mr. David Ornelas T&B Planning, Inc. 4909 Murphy Canyon Rd., Suite 405 San Diego, CA 92123

Re: Burrowing Owl Survey Report for the Bay & Day Commerce Center Project

Dear Mr. Ornelas:

This letter presents the results of the 2023 nesting season survey for the burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*) conducted by Alden Environmental, Inc. for the Bay & Day Commerce Center Project (project) in the city of Moreno Valley, CA (City).

#### LOCATION AND SITE DESCRIPTION

The approximately 9.9-acre project site is located in the City of Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California within the USGS Riverside East Quadrangle, Township 2S, Range 4W, Section 10 (Figures 1 and 2). The site is within the MSHCP plan area but is not within a criteria cell area.

The site is bordered to the north by Bay Avenue and to the east by Day Street. Residential properties border the site to the to the south and west.

#### **METHODS**

The burrowing owl survey consisted of a focused burrow survey and focused burrowing owl survey (Table 1) according to the *Burrowing Owl Survey Instructions for the Western Riverside MSHCP Area.*<sup>1</sup> The surveys were conducted on four different days between April 5 and June 23 by biologist Brian Leatherman.

Burrowing owl habitat was examined by walking line transects spaced approximately 10m apart across the site (Figure 3). At the start of each transect and at approximately every 100m, the entire visible project area was scanned for burrowing owls using binoculars. The entire site was surveyed for burrowing owls and potential burrows or perches that could be used by the owl. The adjacent area to the east which supports suitable habitat also was visually surveyed. Burrowing owls are known to occupy California ground squirrel (*Spermophilus beecheyi*) burrows; therefore, particular attention was paid to any areas along fence lines, or other locations where squirrel activity has been observed in the past, was observed presently, or was likely to occur. Dirt/debris piles and adjacent manufactured slopes also were carefully examined as these sites can often provide cavities that can support the species. The determination of owl presence was made by direct owl observation or by owl signs such as, but not necessarily limited to, excavated soil, whitewash (excrement), castings (pellets), and/or feathers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> County of Riverside. 2006. Burrowing Owl Survey Instructions for the Western Riverside Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan Area. March 29.

Table 1           2023 BURROWING OWL SURVEY INFORMATION				
Visit Number	Date	Biologist	<b>Time</b>	Weather Conditions <sup>1</sup>
Number			(start/stop)	
1	April 5	Brian Leatherman	0630-0900	Clear, 39°F, wind 0-2 mph /
				clear, 49°F, wind 1-2 mph
n	May 12	Drian Lastharman	0600-0800	100%, 54°F, wind 1-3 mph /
Z	Way 12	Brian Leatherman		100%, 57°F, wind 1-3 mph
3	June 14	June 14 Brian Leatherman 0530-0900	100%, 57°F, wind 1-3 mph /	
			0330-0900	100%, 60°F, wind 1-3 mph
4	June 23	Brian Leatherman	0530-0830	100%, 54°F, wind 0-2 mph /
				60%, 61°F, wind 2-4 mph

<sup>1</sup> Estimated cloud cover, temperature, and wind speed

#### SURVEY RESULTS

No BUOW or sign of BUOW were observed during the four focused surveys on the project site. The entire site is heavily disturbed and no burrows were observed with the potential to support the BUOW. Based on the negative results of the 2023 field surveys the site is not anticipated to be occupied (active burrows) by the BUOW.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Greg Mason Principal

Enclosures:

Figure 1Regional Location MapFigure 2Project Location MapFigure 3Burrowing Owl Survey ResultsAttachment ARepresentative Photographs







## **Representative Photographs**



Photo Point 1. April 5, 2023



Photo Point 1. June 23, 2023



Photo Point 2. April 5, 2023



Photo Point 2. June 23, 2023



Photo Point 3. April 5, 2023



Photo Point 3. June 23, 2023



Photo Point 4. April 5, 2023



Photo Point 4. June 23, 2023



Photo Point 5. April 5, 2023



Photo Point 5. June 23, 2023



Photo Point 6. April 5, 2023



Photo Point 6. June 23, 2023

## Appendix B

### PLANT SPECIES OBERVED

#### Appendix B PLANT SPECIES OBSERVED

#### FAMILY SCIENTIFIC NAME

#### COMMON NAME

#### **ANGIOSPERMS – MONOCOTS**

Avena fatua<sup>1</sup> Brachypodium distachyon<sup>1</sup> Bromus diandrus<sup>1</sup> Bromus rubens<sup>1</sup> Digitaria ciliaris var. ciliaris<sup>1</sup> Hordeum murinum var. leporinum<sup>1</sup> Schismus barbatus<sup>1</sup>

wild oat purple false brome ripgut grass red brome southern crab grass hare barley Mediterranean schismus

#### **ANGIOSPERMS – DICOTS**

Amaranthaceae	Amaranthus albus <sup>1</sup>	tumbling pigweed
Anacardiaceae	Schinus molle <sup>1</sup>	Peruvian pepper tree
Asteraceae	Deinandra fasciculata Erigeron canadensis Ericameria pinifolia	fascicled tarplant common horseweed pine goldenbush
	Lactuca serriola <sup>1</sup> Oncosiphon piluliferum <sup>1</sup>	prickly lettuce
	Pseudognaphalium luteoalbum <sup>1</sup> Sonchus oleraceus <sup>1</sup>	weedy cudweed common sow thistle
Boraginaceae	Amsinckia menziesii	rancher's fiddleneck
Brassicaceae	Brassica tournefortii <sup>1</sup> Hirschfeldia incana <sup>1</sup> Lepidium nitidum Sisymbrium irio <sup>1</sup>	sahara mustard shortpod mustard shining peppergrass London rocket
Chenopodiaceae	Atriplex semibaccata <sup>1</sup> Chenopodium album <sup>1</sup> Chenopodium berlandieri Salsola tragus <sup>1</sup>	Australian saltbush lamb's quarters pitseed goosefoot Russian thistle
Cucurbitaceae	Cucurbita palmata	coyote melon
Euphorbiaceae	Croton setiger Euphorbia albomarginata Euphorbia maculata <sup>1</sup>	doveweed rattlesnake spurge spotted spurge

#### Appendix B PLANT SPECIES OBSERVED (Continued)

#### FAMILYSCIENTIFIC NAME

#### COMMON NAME

#### **ANGIOSPERMS – DICOTS**

Fabaceae	Lupinus bicolor Medicago polymorpha <sup>1</sup>	miniature lupine California burclover
	Robinia pseudoacacia <sup>1</sup>	black locust
Geraniaceae	Erodium cicutarium <sup>1</sup>	red-stemmed filaree
Malvaceae	Malva parviflora <sup>1</sup>	cheeseweed
Montiaceae	Calandrinia ciliata	red maids
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus globulus <sup>1</sup>	Tasmanian blue gum
Polygonaceae	Polygonum aviculare ssp. Depressum <sup>1</sup>	common knotweed
Simaroubaceae	Ailanthus altissima <sup>1</sup>	tree of heaven
Solanaceae	Solanum americanum	white nightshade
Zygophyllaceae	Tribulus terrestris <sup>1</sup>	puncture vine
<sup>1</sup> Non-native species		

## Appendix C

ANIMAL SPECIES OBSERVED OR DETECTED

#### Appendix C ANIMAL SPECIES OBSERVED/DETECTED

#### **SCIENTIFIC NAME**

#### Birds

Agelaius phoeniceus Buteo jamaicensis Calypte anna Charadrius vociferus Columba livia Columba decaocto Corvus corax Falco sparverius Haemorhous mexicanus Hirundo rustica *Icterus cucullatus* Mimus polyglottos Oreothlypis celata Petrochelidon pyhrrhonota Passer domesticus Piranga ludoviciana Sayornis nigricans Sayornis saya Setophaga coronata Spinus psaltria Sturnus vulgaris<sup>1</sup> Tyrannus vociferans Zenaida macroura Zonotrichia leucophrys

#### Mammals

Canis familiaris Felis catus Thomomys bottae

<sup>1</sup>Non-native species <sup>2</sup>Sensitive species

#### COMMON NAME

red-winged blackbird red-tailed hawk Anna's hummingbird killdeer rock pigeon Eurasian collard-dove common raven American kestrel house finch barn swallow hooded oriole northern mockingbird orange-crowned warbler cliff swallow house sparrow western tanager black phoebe Say's phoebe yellow-rumped warbler lesser goldfinch European starling Cassin's kingbird mourning dove white-crowned sparrow

domestic dog feral house cat Botta's pocket gopher (burrows)